

The cited references, while believed to be of some relevance, are not necessarily considered to teach or suggest any aspect of the invention described and claimed in the above-identified patent application. Applicant(s) hereby expressly reserves the right to swear behind the effective dates of any of the cited references. Applicant(s) further reserves the right to question the relevance, materiality, and/or prior art status of any of the cited references in whole, in part, or in combination, subsequent to the filing of this information disclosure statement. This information disclosure statement is also not to be construed as a representation that a search has, or has not, been conducted or that no better art exists. Rather, this information disclosure statement discloses only the best references of which the associated individuals are aware.

The Examiner is respectfully requested to consider each of the cited references, to indicate such consideration by initialing in the space provided next to each cited reference on the enclosed Form PTO-1449 (modified), to sign the initialed Form PTO-1449 (modified), and to return a copy of the same with the next communication to the Applicant(s).

For the convenience of the Examiner in considering the cited references, a copy of each of the cited references is enclosed with this communication. In considering the cited references, it may be noted by the Examiner that certain of the references may contain markings, underlinings, and/or other notations. These markings, underlinings, and/or other notations are not to be construed as drawing the Examiner's attention either to selected parts or away from other parts of the cited references. Any such markings were either present on the copies of the cited references obtained by the associated individuals, or were made thereon during the study of the references by the associated individuals.

In accordance with 37 CFR § 1.97(b), this information disclosure statement is being filed (i) within three months of the filing date of the above-identified patent application; (ii) within three months of the date upon which the above-identified patent application entered the national stage as set forth in 37 CFR § 1.491; or (iii) before the mailing date of a first Office Action on the merit for the above-identified patent application. Accordingly, no statement or fee is required.

Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with
the filing of this communication to Deposit Account No. 50-0206,
and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

Hunton & Williams LLP

By: 

Thomas E. Anderson
Registration No. 37,063

TEA/

Hunton & Williams LLP
1900 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006-1109
Telephone: (202) 955-1500
Facsimile: (202) 778-2201

Date: November 26, 2003

FORM PTO-1449 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE (REV. 7-80) PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE					ATTY. DOCKET NO.: 57983.000166		SERIAL NO.: To be assigned	
LIST OF MATERIALS CITED BY APPLICANT (Use several sheets if necessary)					INVENTOR'S NAME: Martin SOUKUP.		EXAMINER: To be assigned	
					FILING DATE: Herewith		GROUP: To be assigned	

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS							
*EXAMINER INITIAL		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FILING DATE

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS								
		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUB- CLASS	TRANSLATION	
							YES	NO

OTHER MATERIALS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)		
	1	Stefan Savage, David Wetherall, Anna Karlin and Tom Anderson, "Practical Network Support for IP Traceback," SIGCOMM'00, Stockholm, Sweden.
	2	Cheng Jin, Haining Wang and Kang G. Shin, "Hop-Count Filtering: An Effective Defense Against Spoofed DDoS Traffic," Proceedings of the 10 th ACM conference on computer and communication security, pp.30-41.
	3	T. Peng, C. Leckie and K. Ramamohanarao, "Detecting Distributed Denial of Service Attacks Using Source IP Address Monitoring," The University of Melbourne - Dept. of Electrical and Electronic Engineering Technical Report, Nov. 2002.
	4	T. Peng, C. Leckie and K. Ramamohanarao, "Detecting Distributed Denial of Service Attacks by Sharing Distributed Beliefs," 8 th Australasian Conference on Information Security and Privacy, Wollongong, Australia, July 2003.
	5	Sven Dietrich, "Scalpel, Gauze, and Decompilers Dissecting Denial of Service (DDoS)," ;login: The Magazine of Usenix & Sage, Nov. 2000, Vol. 25, No. 7, pp.52-55.
	6	J. Li, J. Mirkovic, M. Wang, P. Reiher, and L. Zhang, "SAVE: Source Address Validity Enforcement Protocol," INFOCOM 2002.
	7	D. Fasulo, "An Analysis of Recent Work on Clustering Algorithms," University of Washington - Department of Computer Science & Engineering Technical Report # 01-03-02, April 26, 1999.
	8	Al Reuben, "Re: Average and median IP packet size over the Internet?" found at http://www.cctec.com/maillists/nanog/historical/9804/msg00547.html .

EXAMINER	DATE CONSIDERED
----------	-----------------

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.